

Consultation responses, school funding formula 2018-19

Responses	
Primary - maintained	3
Secondary - Maintained	2
Primary - Academy	2
Secondary - Academy	0
Total	7

Funding Formula Proposals			
		Y	N
1	Do you agree with the proposal to change the calculation of the growth fund to a lump sum rather than the current calculation?	7	0
Primary school Without any modelling of this it is difficult to see the impact of this changes, however I see no problem in principle.			
		Y	N
2	Do you agree with the proposal to change the eligibility criteria in the growth fund?	7	0
Primary school Without any modelling of this it is difficult to see the impact of this changes, however I see no problem in principle.			
3		Y	N
	Do you agree with the proposed removal of the Looked After Children factor from the local formula, redirecting any funding released into the basic entitlement factor?	7	0
No further comments			

4	As per paragraph 4.3 the authority is seeking to poll schools in regards to their preference of moving to the national funding formula (NFF). Please rank the following options in your order of preference. With 1 being your preferred choice and 3 being the least preferred.			
Option	To move as close as possible towards the national funding formula in 2018 to 2019.	To move towards the national funding formula in a gradual process during 2018 to 2019 and 2019 to 2020	To remain with the current funding arrangements, until the national formula is fully operational	
Ranking				
1	3	3	1	
2	2	4	1	
3	2	0	5	
			Y	N
5	Do you agree with the factors proposed to maintain overall affordability as set out at 5.2?		5	2
Primary School I am unable to comment on this, 'Certainty' which was one of the reasons, seems like a good reason but there is no details of this could mean in financial terms to an individual school. - (counted as NO) Primary School Would prefer that the lump sum NOT be adjusted as this would affect smaller schools disproportionately				
			Y	N
6	Do you agree with the proposed de-delegation rates as set out at 6.3?		5	1
Primary School I agree with further consultation re 6.5 Primary school This does not affect academy schools, so felt it unfair to comment on this. (Counted as abstain) Secondary School 6.4 - No, transparency is required - i.e the contingency balance, who has received support, how much received and why 6.5 - Yes				

		Y	N
7	Do you have any other comments?	2	5
<p>Primary School This consultation seems to be about principles and there is no information about the real impact decisions will have.</p> <p>Primary School - Indicated yes but left no comments (Counted as NO)</p> <p>Secondary School The impact of the NFF must be produced for all Portsmouth schools. A comparison between current and new will be required.</p>			